01.9

[Reprinted from Science, N. S., Vol. XXIII., No. 595, Pages 819-821, May 25, 1906.]

DINOSAURIAN GASTROLITHS.

oceurrence of worn and quartz pebbles in such elose association with plesiosaur skeletons of the Kansas chalk as to suggest that in life these reptiles were pebble swallowers was first noted by Professor Mudge and later by Williston.1 More recently these observations of Mudge and Williston have been confirmed in the most conclusive manner by Mr. Barnum Brown,2 who found silieeous pebbles almost invariably accompanying the plesiosaur skeletons, which oecur in eonsiderable number in the Niobrara shales of South Dakota. In some instances the pebbles were even found en masse, in one large speeimen as many as a half bushel being present, ranging from the size of a walnut to four inches across.

From the regularity of appearance and association, Mr. Brown, as it seems to one familiar with the Dakotan Niobrara shales, is very correctly led to the conclusion that these pebbles served as 'stomach stones.' Such attrition stones, I was some years since informed, are habitually swallowed by the Florida alligators, and doubtless the habit of swallowing stomach stones, or gastroliths, as I shall conveniently call them, is and has been widespread amongst the reptilia, partly as in the birds.

¹ Field Columbian Museum Publication (Chicago), No. 73, p. 75.

² SCIENCE, N. S., Vol. XIX., No. 501, pp. 184, 185, August 5, 1904.

Furthermore, Williston in his most recent eontribution on North American Plesiosaurs adds the following remarks to his earlier statement: "It was with a specimen of an elasmosaur (E. Snowii) that Mudge first noticed the occurrence of the peculiar siliceous pebbles which he described; and it was also with another, a large species yet unnamed from the Benton Cretaeeous, that the like speeimens were found described by me in 1892. this habit was not confined to this type of plesiosaur, however, is certain, since I have also observed it in different species of Polycotylus and Trinacromerum, both relatively and long-headed plesiosaurs. short-neeked Much doubt and even ridicule have been thrown upon this supposed habit, and the use of pebbles by these reptiles. But the eumulative testimony of writers, both on this and the other side of the Atlantie, is quite eonelusive. It has been assumed that the plesiosaurs could not have utilized the pebbles as a means of digestion in a museular stomach. Dr. Eastman, who has vigorously opposed the idea of the possession of such a bird-like structure on the part of the plesiosaurs, seems to have been quite unaware that the modern eroeodiles have a real bird-like and museular gizzard, and are so described by Dr. Gadow. The eroeodiles have a similar habit, or at least such a habit has been imputed to them, and it is not at all unreasonable that, strange as it may seem, the plesiosaurs had a real, muscular bird-like gizzard, which utilized the pebbles in whatever way the erocodiles may utilize them."

Certainly in connection with the foregoing facts it is of more than passing interest that at least some of the sauropodous Dinosauria were stone-swallowers. For one can not help eagerly scanning the record for every indica-

³ Am. Jour. Sci., Vol. XXI., March, 1906, p. 226.

tion of the true habits and structure of these extraordinary animals. The evidence for the use of gastroliths by the sauropods rests on at least one authentie instance-namely, that of a large sauropod observed at the northern end of the Big Horn Mountains by Mr. Charles Speer, of Billings, Montana. Mr. Speer found in immediate association with a considerable portion of the skeleton about two dozen quartz gastroliths, which, with various skeletal parts, he took back to Billings, where I saw all this material, September 19, 1902. These speeimens were displayed in the window of the principal bank of Billings, of which Mr. Speer is eashier, and he has very courteously sent to the writer at the Yale Museum nine of these pebbles weighing a little over a kilogram in all, and varying from smaller forms to several inches in diameter. These flints vary from gray to brightly eolored red and more or less mottled jasper, and include one very highly polished silieeous nodule quite filled with bryozoa and eorals, and probably sponge spieules. This gastrolith shows the effects of seeondary or gastral wear, its more depressed portions elearly displaying the original rougher true pebble surface. The finely, and even highly, polished and fresh surfaces of all the pebbles would, however, immediately arrest one's attention. In fact the entire surfaces are so surprisingly smooth and elear as to at first suggest a very recent origin, rather than ancient use. It is surmised, however, that immediately following the fossilization of the dinosaurian host, these gastroliths were ineased in proteeting ealeite and elay, and that they were never subsequently disturbed till finally eroded out just previous to eollection. Various rounded and notably smooth pebbles I observed when making the latter portion of the exeavation from which I seeured the type specimen of Barosaurus, in the summer of

1898, now appear to indicate that gastroliths accompanied that fossil, and it is very probable that many instances of true gastroliths have been overlooked.

The lizards, as I have been shown by Mr. A. Hermann, a most keenly observant lizard faneier, swallow pebbles when feeding on a pebbly cage floor; and he informs me that some of his species swallow very large pebbles for their size, these being soon passed. It ean, of eourse, be that such pebble-swallowing is partly independent of stomach structure; but in view of the fact that the Dinosaurs retained and polished the pebbles, it is fair to assume that their gastrolithic habit establishes the presence of additional important structural analogies with the birds.

G. R. WIELAND.

